



**JOURNAL OF BUSINESS  
MANAGEMENT AND ACCOUNTING**

<https://e-journal.uum.edu.my/index.php/jbma>

How to cite this article:

Wahab, F. K., Akintade, E. A., & Abdulmajeed, T. I. (2026). A critical review of the Nigerian entrepreneurial ecosystem: Understanding and leveraging the opportunities. *Journal of Business Management and Accounting*, 16(1), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.32890/jbma2026.16.1.1>

**A CRITICAL REVIEW OF THE NIGERIAN ENTREPRENEURIAL ECOSYSTEM:  
UNDERSTANDING AND LEVERAGING THE OPPORTUNITIES**

**<sup>1</sup>Fatai Kayode Wahab, <sup>2</sup>Elizabeth Abidemi Akintade &  
<sup>3</sup>Tajudeen Idera Abdulmajeed**

<sup>1</sup>Centre for General Studies, Federal University of Medicine and Medical Sciences,  
Abeokuta, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Department of Ecotourism and Wildlife Management,  
Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria

<sup>3</sup>Department of Banking and Finance, University of Abuja, Nigeria

<sup>1</sup>*Corresponding author: kayodefatai7@gmail.com*

Received: 20/04/2025

Revised: 14/06/2025

Accepted: 01/01/2026

Published: 31/01/2026

**ABSTRACT**

The Nigerian entrepreneurial ecosystem is a dynamic yet complex framework that influences economic diversification and development. Despite the immense potential of the Nigerian economy, the ecosystem faces systemic challenges that hinder its effectiveness. Institutional voids, inadequate infrastructure, limited access to finance, a widening skills gap, regulatory bottlenecks, and restricted market access collectively impede entrepreneurial growth and economic transformation in the country. This study adopts a systematic review approach to analyse the Nigerian entrepreneurial ecosystem by examining its key components, identifying prevailing challenges, and exploring strategies to leverage its potential. Drawing on an extensive review of scholarly literature, policy documents, and empirical studies, the study addresses the existing gap in understanding the interplay among the ecosystem's core elements. The study reveals the pivotal role of government policies, financial institutions, digital infrastructure, and market linkages in shaping entrepreneurial outcomes. The findings emphasise the need for targeted interventions, including policy reforms, capacity-building initiatives, public-private partnerships, and improved access to funding, as essential steps towards bridging existing gaps and unlocking the full potential of Nigerian entrepreneurial ecosystem.

**Keywords:** Entrepreneurial ecosystem, small and medium enterprises (SMEs), systems theory, economic development, Nigeria.

## **INTRODUCTION**

The Nigerian entrepreneurial ecosystem, a dynamic and complex entity, plays a crucial role in the nation's economic diversification and development. Despite its abundant natural resources, Nigeria continues to struggle with high unemployment, poverty, and an over-reliance on the oil sector (Banjo, Iwayemi, & Oyedele, 2024). Entrepreneurship, particularly the growth of Small and Medium-scale Enterprises (SMEs), is widely recognised as a catalyst for job creation, innovation, and sustainable economic progress (Wahab, 2022).

Although numerous studies have examined various aspects of entrepreneurship in Nigeria, many tend to focus on isolated components such as access to finance, regulatory frameworks, or individual entrepreneurial performance. There remains a significant gap in integrated research that holistically analyses the structure, dynamics, and interdependencies within the entrepreneurial ecosystem. Furthermore, limited attention has been paid to synthesising these dimensions in ways that offer practical, actionable strategies for enhancing the system's overall effectiveness.

This research is motivated by the need to bridge this gap by offering a broad-based yet in-depth understanding of the Nigerian entrepreneurial ecosystem. The study aims to inform policy, guide stakeholders, and contribute to the development of a more enabling environment for entrepreneurial growth.

### **Statement of the Problem**

The diversification of Nigeria's economy through the development of a strong SME sector is widely regarded as essential for achieving sustainable growth. However, the Nigerian entrepreneurial environment faces persistent challenges, including weak institutional support, inadequate infrastructure, limited access to finance, skills shortages, restrictive regulations, and poor market access (Ezeomah, 2021). These barriers continue to hinder the establishment and growth of viable enterprises, reducing the sector's overall impact on job creation and economic transformation. Furthermore, there is limited integrated understanding of how these factors interact within the entrepreneurial ecosystem. This study seeks to fill this gap by analysing the structure and dynamics of the ecosystem and identifying strategies to enhance its performance and long-term impact.

### **Objectives of the Study**

The main objective of this study is to analyse the interplay among the key components of the Nigerian entrepreneurial ecosystem and how these elements interact to support or hinder the development of a flourishing entrepreneurial environment.

Specifically, the study seeks:

1. To identify and examine the core components of the Nigerian entrepreneurial ecosystem.
2. To analyse how these components interact and influence the performance and sustainability of entrepreneurial ventures.
3. To investigate the major challenges that constrain the growth and effectiveness of entrepreneurship in Nigeria.
4. To provide evidence-based recommendations for policymakers, stakeholders, and practitioners to enhance the overall performance of the entrepreneurial landscape in Nigeria.

## **REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE**

This study is anchored on Systems Theory to achieve the stated objectives, while the conceptual framework focuses on the dynamic interplay among the components of the business environment, as well as the challenges and strategies for leveraging the Nigerian entrepreneurial ecosystem.

### **Theoretical Framework**

Systems Theory, developed by Ludwig von Bertalanffy (1968), posits that systems comprise interconnected and interdependent parts that work together to maintain stability and achieve common objectives. When applied to entrepreneurship, this theory conceptualises the entrepreneurial ecosystem as a system consisting of multiple interacting subsystems, including institutions, finance, human capital, culture, infrastructure, markets, and support mechanisms.

In the entrepreneurship context, these components do not function in isolation; rather, they are interlinked and must operate cohesively for the entrepreneurial ecosystem to flourish. For instance, access to finance (a subsystem) is influenced by regulatory policies (an institutional subsystem), while the quality of human capital is shaped by educational infrastructure and training institutions. Systems Theory emphasises that dysfunction or deficiency in any of these components can produce a ripple effect across the entire ecosystem. This perspective helps to explain why persistent institutional voids, inadequate infrastructure, a weak regulatory environment, and limited access to finance collectively constrain entrepreneurial growth in Nigeria (Onwe et al., 2024).

Moreover, Systems Theory highlights the significance of feedback loops, integration, and adaptability in maintaining system sustainability. Within an entrepreneurial ecosystem, feedback mechanisms such as stakeholder collaboration, policy evaluation, continuous learning, and market responsiveness are essential for identifying bottlenecks, correcting inefficiencies, and adapting strategies accordingly. This theoretical lens thus provides a holistic understanding of the complex, interconnected factors influencing entrepreneurial development in Nigeria.

### **Conceptualising the Nigerian Entrepreneurial Ecosystem**

Entrepreneurial ecosystems are often conceptualized within the framework of systems theory, which views entrepreneurship as natural outcome of complex and dynamic interactions among different stakeholders. In the early 1990s, James Moore introduced the notion of a “business ecosystem,” emphasizing the co-evolutionary relationships between firms, customers, suppliers, and supporting institutions (Yoon et al., 2022). Isenberg (2010) further refined the ecosystem approach by identifying six key domains: policy, finance, culture, supports, human capital, and markets. Systems theory emphasises the importance of interdependencies in creating a conducive entrepreneurial environment. The entrepreneurial ecosystem, as conceptualised by Isenberg (2010), comprises a network of actors, institutions, and processes that either facilitate or impede entrepreneurial activity. This includes government policies, financial institutions, human capital, market dynamics, support services, and cultural norms. In the Nigerian context, the ecosystem is characterised by a vibrant entrepreneurial spirit juxtaposed with structural impediments.

## **Key Components of the Nigerian Entrepreneurial Ecosystem**

### **Government Policies and Regulations**

Government policies and regulations are pivotal in shaping the entrepreneurial landscape. Studies by Usmany (2024) and the World Bank (2020) highlight the impact of regulatory frameworks, tax policies, and the ease of doing business on entrepreneurial activity. While the Nigerian government has implemented initiatives such as the National Enterprise Development Programme (NEDEP) and the Presidential Enabling Business Environment Council (PEBEC), persistent challenges remain regarding bureaucratic hurdles, corruption, and policy inconsistency.

### **Access to Finance**

Access to finance is a critical determinant of entrepreneurial success. Research by Badejo et al. (2024) and Adetiloye et al. (2020) highlight the challenges faced by Nigerian entrepreneurs in accessing capital, particularly from formal financial institutions. High interest rates, stringent collateral requirements, and the limited availability of venture capital and angel investment pose significant obstacles. However, the emergence of fintech startups and crowdfunding platforms offers promising alternative financing options.

### **Human Capital and Skills Development**

The quality of human capital is essential for promoting innovation and entrepreneurial growth. Studies by Audu (2022) and the African Development Bank (2018) emphasise the need for enhanced education and vocational training to equip entrepreneurs with the necessary skills. The Nigerian educational system, however, often fails to adequately prepare individuals for the demands of entrepreneurship. Initiatives like the Youth Enterprise with Innovation in Nigeria (YouWIN!) programme have attempted to address this gap, but more sustained efforts are required.

### **Market Dynamics and Infrastructure**

Market access and infrastructure play a crucial role in enabling entrepreneurial activity. Research by Ezeudu & Fadeyi (2024) reveals the challenges posed by inadequate infrastructure, including unreliable electricity supply, deficient transportation networks, and limited internet connectivity. These factors increase operational costs and hinder market access for SMEs. Furthermore, the dominance of the informal sector presents both opportunities and challenges, requiring strategies to formalise and integrate these businesses into the mainstream economy.

### **Support Services and Networks**

Access to business development services, mentorship, and networking opportunities is equally important for entrepreneurial success. A study by Hausberg & Korreck (2021) emphasises the importance of incubators, accelerators, and business support organisations. However, the availability and effectiveness of these services in Nigeria remain limited. Strengthening these networks and fostering collaboration among entrepreneurs, researchers, and policymakers are essential.

## **Cultural and Social Norms**

Cultural and social norms significantly influence entrepreneurial behaviour. Research by Bate (2023) suggests that cultural values such as individualism, risk-taking, and innovation play a crucial role in promoting entrepreneurship. In Nigeria, while entrepreneurial spirit is evident, social norms and cultural values may sometimes discourage risk-taking and innovation. Promoting a culture of entrepreneurship through education and awareness campaigns is essential.

## **Challenges Facing the Nigerian Entrepreneurial Ecosystem**

Despite notable progress, the Nigerian entrepreneurial ecosystem continues to struggle with several significant challenges. A primary impediment is the prevalence of institutional voids, characterised by weak regulatory frameworks, bureaucratic inefficiencies, and endemic corruption. As highlighted by Webb, Khoury, & Hitt (2020), these voids create uncertainty and elevate transaction costs, discouraging entrepreneurial activity. Another research conducted by Yuan, Chen, & Zhang (2022) consistently underscores the detrimental impact of corruption on business operations and investment. Inadequate infrastructure remains a critical constraint.

Unreliable electricity supply, deficient transportation networks, and limited internet connectivity significantly increase operational costs and reduce productivity. A study by Isichei et al. (2020) demonstrates a direct correlation between infrastructural deficiencies and the stunted growth of SMEs. The absence of reliable infrastructure not only impedes the establishment of new businesses but also restricts the expansion and competitiveness of existing ones. Moreover, the digital divide, particularly in rural areas, further restricts access to information and markets, hindering the adoption of technology-driven entrepreneurial models.

Younas and Md. Kassim (2022) noted that financial distress resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic presents a persistent challenge for entrepreneurs, especially small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). Traditional financial institutions often impose stringent collateral requirements and elevated interest rates, making it difficult for startups and small businesses to secure funding. A study by Wahab et al. (2023) highlights the credit constraints faced by SMEs in developing economies as one of the major setbacks for entrepreneurship development, particularly in Nigeria. The limited availability of venture capital and angel investment further restricts the growth potential of innovative startups (Babatunde,2025).

While the emergence of fintech platforms and crowdfunding initiatives offers alternative financing options, their impact remains constrained by regulatory uncertainties and low levels of financial literacy. The quality of human capital is crucial for driving innovation and entrepreneurial success. However, the Nigerian educational system often fails to adequately prepare individuals for the demands of entrepreneurship. McGrath et al. (2020) notes the need for enhanced vocational training and skills development programmes. The skills gap, particularly in areas such as technology, management, and marketing, limits the capacity of entrepreneurs to effectively manage and grow their businesses. The lack of mentorship and entrepreneurial training further exacerbates this challenge. Opuala-Charles & Oshilike (2023) affirms that the Doing Business Report consistently rank Nigeria low in terms of ease of doing business. The cumbersome process of obtaining permits, licenses, and approvals creates barriers for entrepreneurs, particularly those operating in the informal sector. The lack of transparency and predictability in regulatory processes further discourages entrepreneurial activity.

## **Strategies for Leveraging the Nigerian Entrepreneurial Ecosystem**

The Nigerian entrepreneurial ecosystem offers great opportunities for economic growth and development. A fundamental strategy lies in improving access to finance for entrepreneurs, particularly SMEs. According to Atta and Ibrahim (2024), financial inclusion plays a vital role in fostering entrepreneurial growth. To move beyond traditional bank loans, exploring venture capital, angel investment, and crowdfunding platforms is crucial. Furthermore, initiatives like the Development Bank of Nigeria should be strengthened to provide affordable financing.

Investing in human capital is another vital strategy for fostering innovation and entrepreneurial success. Wahab and Akintade (2025) stress the necessity of aligning educational curricula with the demands of the entrepreneurial sector. Integrating entrepreneurship education into formal education systems and providing vocational training programmes can equip individuals with essential skills. Given the increasing importance of technology, providing digital skills training is essential for entrepreneurs to leverage digital platforms and tools effectively. Conducive regulatory and institutional environment is also crucial for leveraging the entrepreneurial ecosystem. West (2024) highlights the impact of regulatory frameworks on business operations. Ensuring policy consistency and stability can reduce uncertainty and encourage long-term investment. Furthermore, cultivating a culture that values entrepreneurship and innovation is important for driving long-term economic growth. Research by Dada et al. (2023) reveals the important role of cultural values in promoting entrepreneurial behaviour. Conducting awareness campaigns and educational programmes can change negative perceptions about entrepreneurship and promote a culture of risk-taking and innovation.

## **Empirical Review**

Studies in Nigeria confirm that, although the elements of the entrepreneurial ecosystem exist, their interactions are fragmented and often inhibited by infrastructural, regulatory, and socio-political bottlenecks (Raimi & Raimi, 2023; Eze et al., 2024). Numerous studies have also identified infrastructural inadequacies particularly unreliable power supply, poor transportation networks, and limited internet connectivity as primary constraints on entrepreneurial productivity in Nigeria. For instance, Ajibola et al. (2021) found that majority of SMEs surveyed in Nigeria cited erratic power supply as a barrier to scaling their operations. Similarly, Ejejigbe (2021) reported that start-ups in Nigeria incur excessive costs by independently sourcing alternative power, which adversely affects profitability and long-term viability. Regulatory and bureaucratic inefficiencies further exacerbate the fragility of the ecosystem. According to Chukwuanu and Ajibo (2024), Nigeria ranks poorly in metrics such as starting a business, registering property, and enforcing contracts. Research by Odeyemi (2023) corroborates these findings, highlighting that policy inconsistency and pervasive corruption significantly undermine trust in the system and deter both local and foreign investment in start-ups.

Despite these challenges, empirical evidence points to several burgeoning opportunities that Nigerian entrepreneurs can leverage. Firstly, the rise of digital platforms and financial technologies has democratised access to markets and finance. A study by Baldin (2023) demonstrated that youth-led enterprises in Lagos utilised mobile money and crowdfunding platforms to secure start-up capital and reach broader audiences. Moreover, Nigeria's demographic advantage where over 60% of the population is below the age of 35 represents an untapped reservoir of entrepreneurial potential. To leverage these opportunities effectively, entrepreneurship education must be reformed to become more experiential and aligned with industry needs, and partnerships between government, academia, and the private sector must be strengthened. The Lagos Innovates programme, evaluated by Adegbiyi (2021), stands as a successful case in which public-private partnerships have facilitated improved infrastructure, mentorship, and access to funding for SMEs in Nigeria.

## **RESEARCH METHOD**

This study adopts a literature review methodology to understand and leverage the Nigerian entrepreneurial ecosystem. Given the complex and dynamic nature of the subject, a synthesis of existing scholarly research, reports, and policy documents is deemed the most appropriate approach to achieve the objectives of the study. This method allows for a broad yet structured analysis of existing knowledge, offering insights into the systemic relationships and challenges within the ecosystem.

A systematic search of relevant academic databases, including but not limited to Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar, was conducted. Keywords related to the Nigerian entrepreneurial ecosystem, such as “Nigerian,” “entrepreneurship,” “SMEs,” “entrepreneurial ecosystem,” “innovation,” “access to finance,” “infrastructure,” and “human capital,” were utilised. Furthermore, reports from reputable organisations, including the World Bank, the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the African Development Bank (AfDB), and relevant Nigerian government agencies, were included in the review.

The selection of literature was guided by specific inclusion and exclusion criteria. Studies were included if they directly addressed the Nigerian entrepreneurial ecosystem, were published in peer-reviewed journals or reputable reports, and provided empirical evidence or theoretical insights relevant to the objectives of the study. Conversely, studies were excluded if they lacked relevance to the Nigerian context, demonstrated a lack of academic rigour or credibility, or were outdated, having been superseded by more recent research.

The selected literature was critically evaluated to assess its methodological accuracy, theoretical contributions, and empirical evidence. The analysis focused on identifying the interrelationships between the various components of the entrepreneurial ecosystem and exploring the implications for policymaking and strategic interventions.

While the literature review approach provides a comprehensive understanding of existing research, it is not without limitations. The study is constrained by the availability and accessibility of relevant and up-to-date sources, and may inadvertently omit unpublished or emerging research. Furthermore, the absence of primary data collection limits the study’s ability to capture current, context-specific entrepreneurial experiences or perceptions. Nonetheless, the method remains valuable for highlighting gaps, synthesising diverse insights, and guiding future empirical investigations.

## **FINDINGS**

The study reviewed the complex dynamics of the Nigerian entrepreneurial ecosystem with particular emphasis on its core components, prevailing challenges, and strategic opportunities for optimisation. Drawing on Systems Theory, the study revealed an interdependent ecosystem wherein dysfunction in any singular component influences the performance of the entire system.

## **Key Findings**

The study highlighted several challenges facing entrepreneurship in Nigeria. Despite the introduction of various policy initiatives, such as the National Enterprise Development Programme (NEDEP) and the Presidential Enabling Business Environment Council (PEBEC), their impact has been undermined by bureaucratic bottlenecks, policy inconsistency, and corruption. These issues create institutional voids, leading to a weak regulatory environment that discourages investment and stifles entrepreneurial growth. This finding aligns with prior research by Adebayo and Kolawole (2022), who observed that institutional inefficiencies remain a core barrier to business development in Nigeria.

Access to finance is another major constraint within the Nigerian entrepreneurial ecosystem. Many entrepreneurs struggle to secure funding from traditional financial institutions due to stringent collateral requirements and high interest rates. While fintech platforms and crowdfunding options have emerged as alternatives, they are not yet widespread or scaled sufficiently to address the financing gap for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). This supports earlier findings by Eniola and Entebang (2017), who argue that despite financial innovation, systemic gaps still marginalise many SMEs from accessing appropriate funding.

The study also identified a mismatch between the skill sets of aspiring entrepreneurs and the demands of the market. The education system in Nigeria fails to adequately integrate entrepreneurial training or vocational preparedness. Although initiatives like the Youth Enterprise with Innovation in Nigeria (YouWIN!) have made some impact, a more systematic and sustained approach to entrepreneurial education is required to bridge this gap. Similar concerns have been expressed in the literature, notably by Olawale and Garwe (2019), who stress that education reforms are essential to cultivating entrepreneurial capabilities aligned with local economic realities.

Infrastructure deficits, including erratic power supply, poor transportation networks, and limited internet penetration, were found to elevate operational costs and restrict market access for entrepreneurs. These challenges disproportionately affect SMEs, particularly those located in rural or underserved areas. This reinforces findings by Oyelaran-Oyeyinka (2018), who contended that infrastructural inadequacies persist as a structural barrier to entrepreneurial competitiveness across sub-Saharan Africa.

The dominance of the informal economy further complicates efforts to formalise businesses and integrate them into the broader economic framework. The availability and effectiveness of entrepreneurial support services, such as incubators, accelerators, and business development services, are also limited in both reach and capacity. This lack of support hinders knowledge exchange, mentorship, and scaling opportunities, all of which are vital for entrepreneurial success. Comparative studies across emerging economies, such as those by Isenberg (2011), indicate that robust support networks are essential to transition informal entrepreneurs into the formal sector and enhance productivity.

## **DISCUSSIONS**

From a systems perspective, the findings highlight the interdependence among various components of the entrepreneurial ecosystem. The deficiencies in regulatory institutions have a ripple effect on access to finance and hinder the effectiveness of support structures. Similarly, poor infrastructure not only hampers market access but also affects human capital development by limiting access to digital resources and entrepreneurial education.

The study corroborates the assertion of Systems Theory that subsystems must function cohesively to ensure overall system performance. For instance, entrepreneurial finance cannot be effectively delivered in an environment of regulatory uncertainty and infrastructural decay. Likewise, human capital enhancement efforts will remain ineffective if they are not complemented by market access and strong institutional support. These observations are consistent with global ecosystem models proposed by Stam (2015), which suggest that institutional quality, infrastructure, and human capital are mutually reinforcing factors in entrepreneurial ecosystems.

The study also suggests that the informal sector, often seen as a challenge, presents a unique opportunity. With appropriate reforms and incentives, informal enterprises can be formalised and integrated into mainstream economic activities, thereby contributing significantly to employment and productivity. This echoes similar arguments by La Porta and Shleifer (2014), who note that informal businesses, though small, can become dynamic players in national economies when integrated through inclusive policies.

Furthermore, the growing influence of digital technologies presents a window of opportunity to mitigate some challenges. For example, digital financial services can help bridge access-to-capital gaps, while online training platforms can complement traditional education systems in building entrepreneurial competencies. These developments mirror trends in other emerging economies, where digital ecosystems are increasingly bridging institutional and infrastructural deficits (UNCTAD, 2023).

## **CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

### **Conclusion**

Despite the introduction of various policy initiatives, the overall impact has been severely limited by systemic challenges, such as bureaucratic inefficiencies, policy inconsistency, and corruption. These institutional voids have created a regulatory environment that discourages investment and hampers the growth of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). Furthermore, access to finance remains a critical bottleneck, with traditional financial institutions continuing to impose stringent collateral requirements and high interest rates. The study also highlighted major gaps in human capital development, with a mismatch between the skills taught by the educational system and the needs of the entrepreneurial market. Although some initiatives have shown promise, such as the Youth Enterprise with Innovation in Nigeria (YouWIN!), there is an urgent need for a more structured and sustained approach to entrepreneurial education and vocational training.

### **Recommendations**

Based on the findings, several key recommendations can be made to enhance the entrepreneurial ecosystem in Nigeria:

1. There is a need for more consistent, transparent, and efficient policy implementation. Policymakers should focus on reducing bureaucratic bottlenecks and fostering a more stable regulatory environment that encourages investment and supports entrepreneurial growth.

2. Efforts should be made to increase the availability of financing options for SMEs. This includes encouraging financial institutions to adopt more flexible lending criteria, promoting fintech platforms, and scaling crowdfunding initiatives to reach a broader segment of the entrepreneurial population.
3. Both public and private sectors should collaborate to provide entrepreneurial education that aligns with global market demands and industry needs.
4. To reduce operational costs and improve market access, significant investment in infrastructure is required, particularly in power supply, transportation, and internet connectivity.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency.

### **REFERENCES**

- Adebayo (2025). Adebayo, T. A. (2025). The Relationship Between Financial Development and Economic Growth in Nigeria. *Review of Business and Economics Studies*, 13(1), 24-42. <https://doi.org/10.26794/2308-944X-2025-13-1-24-42>
- Adegbiji, A. (2021). Technology Entrepreneurship and Innovation Development in Nigeria: A Study of Innovation Hubs in Lagos State. In *International Conference of the Nigeria Computer Society* (223-238). <https://library.ncs.org.ng/download/technology-entrepreneurshipandinnovation-development-in-nigeria-a-study-of-innovation-hubs-in-lagos-state/>
- Adetiloye, K. A., Adegbeye, F. B., & Akinjare, V. A. (2020). Sustainable Financial Access for Female Entrepreneurs in the Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises Sector in Nigeria. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 6(1), 1823600. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2020.1823600>
- Ajibola, A. A., Sodeinde, G. M., Aderemi, T. A., & Yusuf, M. O. (2021). Impact of Electricity Supply on the Performance of Small and Medium-Scale Enterprises (SMEs) in Nigeria: A Case Study. *Economic Insights-Trends & Challenges*, (4). <https://doi.org/10.51865/EITC.2021.04.02>
- Atta, A., & Ibrahim, A. U. (2024). Effect of Financial Inclusion on Economic Development in Nigeria. *International Journal of Professional Business Review: Int. J. Prof. Bus. Rev.*, 9(4), 13. <https://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/articulo?codigo=9483671>
- Audu, G. A. (2022). Role of Entrepreneurship and Vocational Education in Sustainable Development. *J Adv Educ Philos*, 6(7), 377-382. <https://doi.org/10.36348/jaep.2022.v06i07.004>
- Babatunde, A. A. (2025). Financial Literacy, Infrastructural Facility and Financial Inclusion of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprise Owners in Nigeria. *Journal of Business Management and Accounting*, 15(1), 79–90. <https://doi.org/10.32890/jbma2025.15.1.5>
- Badejo, O. O., Ogege, S., & Oseni, E. (2024). The Entrepreneur and Financing Dilemma: The Formal and Informal Sectors in Nigeria. *Lagos Journal of Banking, Finance and Economic Issues*, 5(1), 81-92. <http://wajfas.unilag.edu.ng/index.php/LJBFEI/article/view/2324>
- Baldin, M. (2023). A Sustainable view on Entrepreneurial Ecosystems: The Study of the Lagos Context. <https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.14247/14102>
- Banjo, A., Iwayemi, A., & Oyedele, O. (2024). Natural Resources and Economic Performance in Nigeria. *Asian Journal of Economics, Finance and Management*, 159-179. <http://www.journaleconomics.org/index.php/AJEFM/article/view/177>

- Bate, A. F. (2023). Unearthing the Contextual Influence of National Culture on Entrepreneurship: Systematic Literature Review. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2420126/v1>
- Chukwuana, C. S., & Ajibo, K. I. (2024). Fostering a Legal, Regulatory and Institutional Regime for a Productive Entrepreneurship in Nigeria. *Nnamdi Azikiwe University Journal of Private and Property Law*, 1(1), 94-111. file:///C:/Users/Acer/Downloads/FOSTERING+A+LEGAL,+REGULATORY+AND+INSTITUTIONAL+REGIME+FOR+A+PRODUCTIVE%20(1).pdf
- Ejejigbe, M. S. (2021). Exploratory Study of Interventions and Challenges Faced by Indigenous NGOs Supporting Start-Up Businesses (Established by Young Entrepreneurs) in Nigeria's Niger-Delta Region. *University of Wales Trinity Saint David (United Kingdom)*. <http://www.proquest.com/openview/c24031669818c0560e63e87e9667a2b3/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=2026366&diss=y>
- Eniola and Entebang (2017). Eniola, A. A., & Entebang, H. (2017). SME managers and financial literacy. *Global Business Review*, 18(3), 559-576. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0972150917692063>
- Eze, C., Chikeleze, O., & Okwueze, O. (2024). Youth as Catalysts for Socio-Economic Development: The Role of Technological Innovation and Social Change in Enugu State, Nigeria. *Journal of Policy and Development Studies*, 16(1), 329-346. <https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/jpds.v16i1.19>
- Ezeomah, B. N. (2021). The Role of Digital Platforms in Bridging Institutional Voids in Financing Agriculture: A Nigerian Case Study. *The University of Manchester*. <http://www.proquest.com/openview/3d4a6439c05cb3dbb51b72cf02928d7f/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=51922&diss=y>
- Ezeudu, T. S., & Fadeyi, T. J. (2024). Examining the Influence of Infrastructure Deficit on Economic Activities, Education, and Healthcare in Rural Areas of Nigeria. *Nnamdi Azikiwe Journal of Political Science*, 9(1), 155-176. <https://najops.org.ng/index.php/najops/article/view/229>
- Hausberg, J. P., & Korreck, S. (2021). Business Incubators and Accelerators: A Co-Citation Analysis-Based, Systematic Literature Review (39-63). *Edward Elgar Publishing*. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781788974783.00009>
- Isenberg, D. J. (2010). How to start an entrepreneurial revolution. *Harvard business review*, 88(6), 40-50. <https://dhriiti.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/Isenberg-How-to-Start-an-Entrepreneurial-Revolution.pdf>
- Isichei, E. E., Emmanuel Agbaeze, K., & Odiba, M. O. (2020). Entrepreneurial Orientation and Performance in SMEs: The Mediating Role of Structural Infrastructure Capability. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, 15(6), 1219-1241. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOEM-08-2019-0671>
- La Porta and Shleifer (2014). La Porta, R., & Shleifer, A. (2014). Informality and Development. *Journal of Economic perspectives*, 28(3), 109-126. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.28.3.109>
- McGrath, S., Ramsarup, P., Zeelen, J., Wedekind, V., Allais, S., Lotz-Sisitka, H., ... & Russon, J. A. (2020). Vocational Education and Training for African development: A Literature Review. *Journal of Vocational Education & Training*, 72(4), 465-487. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13636820.2019.1679969>
- Odeyemi, C. A. (2023). Leveraging on Innovation and Technological Entrepreneurship in Achieving Economic Growth in Nigeria. *Covenant Journal of Entrepreneurship*, 51-58. <https://journals.covenantuniversity.edu.ng/index.php/cjoe/article/view/4103>
- Olawale and Garwe (2010). Olawale, F., & Garwe, D. (2010). Obstacles to the growth of new SMEs in South Africa: A principal component analysis approach. *African journal of Business management*, 4(5), 729. <https://academicjournals.org/journal/AJBM/article-full-text-pdf/A1AFDEC23302>

- Opuala-Charles, S., & Oshilike, I. V. (2023). Impact of Ease of Doing Business on Foreign Direct Investment in Nigeria. *Journal of Economics & Management Research*, 174, 2-9. [https://doi.org/10.47363/JESMR/2023\(4\)174](https://doi.org/10.47363/JESMR/2023(4)174)
- Onwe, J. C., Agada, E. E., Onwe, O. C., Williams, O., & Ogba, R. C. (2024). Factors Influencing Business and Entrepreneurial Survival in Africa: A Systematic Review. <https://doi.org/10.52589/AJESD-KRB0EPAQ>
- Oyelaran-Oyeyinka (2020). Oyelaran-Oyeyinka, B. (2020). *Resurgent Africa: Structural transformation in sustainable development*. Anthem Press.
- Raimi, L., & Raimi, B. O. (2023). An Exploratory view of the Business Ecosystem in Nigeria. *African Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship (AJIE)*, 2(2), 119. [https://hdl.handle.net/10520/ej-aa\\_ajie\\_v2\\_n2\\_a6](https://hdl.handle.net/10520/ej-aa_ajie_v2_n2_a6)
- Stam (2015). Stam, E. (2015). Entrepreneurial ecosystems and regional policy: a sympathetic critique. *European planning studies*, 23(9), 1759-1769. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09654313.2015.1061484>
- Usmany, A. E. M. (2024). Impact of Government Regulations on Small Business Performance: A Comparative Study. *International Journal of Business, Law, and Education*, 5(2), 1614-1623. <https://doi.org/10.56442/ijble.v5i2.664>
- Von Bertalanffy, L. (1972). The History and Status of General Systems Theory. *Academy of Management Journal*, 15(4), 407-426. <https://doi.org/10.5465/255139>
- Wahab, F. K. (2025). Musharakah as a Funding Solution for Nigerian SMEs: A Viewpoint. *Journal of Entrepreneurship and Business*, 13(1), 59–74. <https://doi.org/10.17687/jeb.v13i1.1443>
- Wahab, F. K. & Akintade, E. A. (2025). Integration of Digital Technologies in Entrepreneurship Education in Nigeria. In J. Basha, T. Alade, M. Al Khazimi, R. Vasudevan, & J. Khan (Eds.), *Optimizing Research Techniques and Learning Strategies with Digital Technologies* (269-298). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-7863-2.ch010>
- Wahab, F. K., Yusuf, A. A., & Umar, S. B. (2023). Proper Record Keeping: An Effective Tool for Measuring SMEs Performance. *Journal of Management and Business Sciences*, 1(2), 22-43. <http://www.journals.iuiu.ac.ug/index.php/jombs/article/view/463>
- Wahab, F. K. (2022). Entrepreneurship: A Career Choice for Nigerian Youth. *FULafia Journal for Entrepreneurial Development*, 2(1), 254- 270. [http://ir.futminna.edu.ng:8080/jspui/bitstream/123456789/28307/1/Okigbo%202022%20\\_Fulafia.pdf#page=262](http://ir.futminna.edu.ng:8080/jspui/bitstream/123456789/28307/1/Okigbo%202022%20_Fulafia.pdf#page=262)
- Webb, J. W., Houry, T. A., & Hitt, M. A. (2020). The Influence of Formal and Informal Institutional Voids on Entrepreneurship. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 44(3), 504-526. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1042258719830310>
- West, R. (2024). Analyzing the Impact of Regulatory Changes. *International Journal of Supportive Research*, ISSN: 3079-4692, 2(1), 1-8. <https://ijsupport.com/index.php/ijsrs/article/view/8>
- Yoon, C., Moon, S., & Lee, H. (2022). Symbiotic Relationships in Business Ecosystem: A Systematic Literature Review. *Sustainability*, 14(4), 2252. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14042252>
- Younas, A. ., & Md Kassim, A. A. (2022). Empirical Review of Business Challenges and Opportunities from the Covid-19 Pandemic. *Journal of Business Management and Accounting*, 12(2), 63–79. <https://doi.org/10.32890/jbma2022.12.2.4>
- Yuan, S., Chen, H., & Zhang, W. (2022). Impact of Corruption on Chinese Investment in African Countries. *Chinese Management Studies*, 16(4), 904-923. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CMS-12-2020-0576>